Case 2:12-cv-03130-JD Document 1 Filed 06/04/12 Page 1 of 10

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sneet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				DEFENDANTS						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS										
ROBERT BURD				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
	ime, Address, Telephone Nu	umber and Email Add	iress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE					HE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire				LAND INVOLVED.						
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike				Attorneys (If Known))					
Ambler, PA 19002										
(215) 540-8888						NOTE	AT DADDIEG	•		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP O		NCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box:		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		en of This State	PTF D 1	DEF	Incorporated or Proof Business In The	rincipal Place	PTF □ 4	ĎEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	□ 2	o 2	Incorporated and	Principal Place	□ 5	5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item IП)					of Business In	Another State		
		<u>. </u>		en or Subject of a reign Country	□ 3	o 3	Foreign Nation		1 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI			and the second		ev s Le	n.	NKRUPTCY 🐭 🤲	OTHE	o Cará-aren	TPQ and the same
CONTRACT 1 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALT 0 Agriculture			peal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State F		
110 Insurance 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury	- 🗖 62	0 Other Food & Drug		423 Wi	thdrawal	🗖 410 Antitro	ust	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractic ☐ 365 Personal Injury		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8		28 '	USC 157	☐ 430 Banks ☐ 450 Comm		ng
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment		Product Liability		0 Liquor Laws		PROP	ERTY RIGHTS	460 Deport	tation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona		O R.R. & Truck		820 Cop 830 Pat		☐ 470 Racket	t eer Influen et Organizat	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational		840 Tra		■ 480 Consu		HOUS
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER	TY	Safety/Health				☐ 490 Cable/		
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending		0 Other LABOR	485 A. M.	SOCIA	L SECURITY	□ 810 Selecti		odities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal		0 Fair Labor Standards		861 HL	A (1395ff)	Exchai	nge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act O Labor/Mgmt, Relation			ck Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Custor	ner Challer C 3410	ige
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability		Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting			D Title XVI	□ 890 Other		ctions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury_			& Disclosure Act			[(405(g))	□ 891 Agricu □ 892 Econo		A at
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PETELIO 510 Motions to Vacat		0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation			RAL TAX SUTES (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Envir		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		1 Empl. Ret. Inc.		or	Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General		Security Act	-		⊢Third Party USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedo Act	m of Infor	mation
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty	20,000	IMMIGRATION		20	DSC 7009	☐ 900Appeal	of Fee De	ermination
290 All Other Real Property		☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot		2 Naturalization Applic	ation				Equal Acce	ess
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 		3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee				to Just		of
	Other			5 Other Immigration					Statutes	
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions						
⊠ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reor	ened ar	ransferr nother d	istrict	Litigation	n in	Appeal to Judge fro Magistrat Judgment	m te
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a 10N 1692	re filing (Do not cite jurisdic	tional s	tatutes	unless diversity):		Jungmen	-
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca Fair Debt Collect	use: ction Practices A	.ct							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				EMAND \$			CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	,	n complai No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE		1		DOCK	ET NUMBER			
Explanation:			1			•	_			
DATE		SIGNATURE O	FATTO.	NEY OF RECORI	D					
06/04/2012										

Case 2:12-cv-03130-JD Document 1 Filed 06/04/12 Page 2 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ROBERT BURD		:	CIVIL ACTION	
v.		:		
NCO FINANCIAL SY	STEMS, INC.	:	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and side of this form.) In a designation, that defenda	a Case Managemer serve a copy on all the event that a de ant shall, with its fin ties, a Case Manage	nt Track Des defendants. efendant doorst appearance ement Track	lay Reduction Plan of this court, consignation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the sonot agree with the plaintiff regardee, submit to the clerk of court and ser Designation Form specifying the track	e time of e reverse ling said ve on the
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING	CASE MAI	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca	ses brought under 2	28 U.S.C. § 2	2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Car and Human Services	* •		sion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases r	equired to be desig	nated for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases inv exposure to asbestos		personal inju	ry or property damage from	()
commonly referred to	o as complex and the	nat need spe	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	
management cases.)	se side of this form	i ioi a detair	ed explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Manageme	nt – Cases that do r	not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	()
10/04/12 Date	Craig Thor K Attorney-at-la		<u>Plaintiffs, Robert Burd</u> Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Felephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address	

Case 2:12-cv-03130-JD Document 1 Filed 06/04/12 Page 3 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ Airplane Personal Injury 2. □ FELA 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation □ Antitrust □ Marine Personal Injury 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5.
Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please □ Labor-Management Relations specify) 7. □ Civil Rights □ Products Liability 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 15 v.S.C. €1692 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Jounsel of regord do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. ase now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any except as noted above.

Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (6/08)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT BURD,)
Plaintiff)
) Case No.:
v.)
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR) JURY TRIAL
Defendant.) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

ROBERT BURD ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant's corporate headquarters is in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey

08234.

- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Also, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 12. The alleged debt at issue, a medical bill, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in or around December 2011, and continuing through May 2012, Plaintiff received numerous collection calls on his home telephone from Defendant seeking payment of an alleged debt.
- 14. Plaintiff regularly received collection calls from the following telephone numbers: (888) 831-6994 and (800) 709-8625, which the undersigned confirmed are telephone numbers belonging to Defendant.

- 15. Also, Plaintiff knew that it was Defendant calling him because he has answered the telephone calls and spoken with Defendant, and in those instances where he did not answer the telephone calls, he received voicemail messages from Defendant.
- 16. On average, Defendant called twice a day, causing Plaintiff to receive more than ten (10) collection calls a week.
 - 17. Defendant alleged that Plaintiff owed \$129.00 for a medical debt.
- 18. Plaintiff, however, disputed owing the alleged debt, explaining to Defendant that this bill had been paid in 2003.
- 19. At the time Plaintiff incurred this medical bill, his health insurance required him to pay 20% and it would pay 80%.
 - 20. Plaintiff paid the 20% of the \$129.00 medical bill.
- 21. Plaintiff's health insurance company paid the remaining 80% of the \$129.00 medical bill.
- 22. Plaintiff confirmed with his health insurance company that the bill was paid in 2003.
- 23. Defendant, however, claimed to Plaintiff that it did not believe him and demanded payment of the alleged debt.
- 24. Defendant failed to investigate the information that Plaintiff provided about the debt having been paid.
- 25. Further, in its conversations with Plaintiff, Defendant's collectors, two of whom identified themselves to Plaintiff as "Russell Smith" and "Nicole," threatened to take legal action against Plaintiff and to have his wages garnished if he did not pay the alleged debt.

- 26. At the time Defendant threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages and to take legal action against him, Defendant could not do so, as the debt had been paid.
- 27. Moreover, at the time it made these threats, Defendant did not intend to garnish Plaintiff's wages and/or pursue legal action against him.
- 28. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect a debt were harassing, abusive, and annoying.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT COUNT I

- 29. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d, 1692d(2), and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) by continuously calling Plaintiff's home, on an average of two (2) times a day, with the intent to harass or abuse Plaintiff.

COUNT II

- 30. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(4), and 1692e(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive

or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

- b. A debt collector violates §1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by misrepresenting the character, amount or status of the debt.
- c. A debt collector violates §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by representing or implicating that nonpayment of any debt will result I the arrest or imprisonment of any person or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action.
- d. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- e. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by claiming to Plaintiff that he owed \$129.00 for a medical debt, when the debt had been paid in 2003.
- f. Also, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(4) of the FDCPA by threatening to garnish Plaintiff's wages for nonpayment of a debt, when it had no intent to take such action and could not take such action since the debt had already been paid.
- g. Finally, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take legal action against Plaintiff for nonpayment of a debt, when it had no intent to take such action and could not take such action since the debt had already been paid.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

COUNT III

- 31. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692f and 1692f(1).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting an amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including attempting to collect an amount from Plaintiff which he did not owe.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ROBERT BURD, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to
 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ROBERT BURD, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 06/04/12

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEN & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com